Educational Forum

Focused Assessment with Sonography for Trauma

Pei-Hsiu Wang¹, Hao-Yang Lin¹, Po-Yuan Chang^{2,3}, Wan-Ching Lien^{1,2*}

¹Department of Emergency Medicine, National Taiwan University Hospital, Taipei, Taiwan, ²General Medicine Training and Demonstration Center, National Taiwan University Hospital, Taipei, Taiwan, ³Department of Internal Medicine, National Taiwan University Hospital, Taipei, Taiwan

A 75-year-old female without a past medical history crashed into the change box in bus at an emergency brake when taking a bus this morning. The chief complaint was right side upper abdominal pain. Her vital sign on arrival was as follows: blood pressure of 124/73 mmHg, respiratory rate of 22/min, pulse of 103 beats per minute, and oxygen saturation of 99%. The chest X-ray demonstrated no rib fractures, pneumothorax, or hemothorax. However, physical examinations showed tenderness and bruise in right side bottom ribs.

As for the assessment of trauma patients, sonography is also important for diagnosis besides understanding the detailed mechanism of injury and complete physical examination.^[1] We can use Focused Assessment of Sonography for Trauma (FAST) as an adjunct to primary survey.^[2]

GOAL OF FOCUSED ASSESSMENT OF SONOGRAPHY FOR TRAUMA

- Whether the patient has internal bleeding in abdomen?
- Whether the patient has pericardial effusion?
- Whether the patient has pneumothorax?
- Whether the patient has hemothorax?

Selection of Probe

• Abdominal probe (with 2.5–5.0 MHz Convex transducer)

MANIPULATION

Sonographic images

- 1. Assessment of right upper abdomen [1 in Figures 1 and 3, Morison's pouch]
- 2. Assessment of left upper abdomen [2 in Figure 1 and 4, Splenorenal recess]
- 3. Assessment of pelvic cavity [3 in Figure 1 and 5, pelvic view]

Received: 12-05-2021 Accepted: 22-05-2021 Available Online: 15-09-2021

Access this article online	
Quick Response Code:	Website: www.jmuonline.org
	DOI: 10.4103/jmu.jmu_128_21

4. Assessment of pericardial sac [4 in Figure 1 and 6, subxiphoid view]

CME Credits

- 5. Assessment of hemothorax [5 and 6 in Figure 2 and 7, costophrenic space]
- 6. Assessment of pneumothorax [7 and 8 in Figure 2 and 8, anterior chest]

The sonographic image of this case [Figure 9] showed effusion was found in Morison's pouch. The computed tomography showed hepatic laceration. The bleeding was successfully stopped after an emergent transcatheter arterial embolization.

FAST is categorized into integrated sonography. There are many advantages for trauma patient assessment by FAST including rapidness, easy execution at bedside, noninvasion, no concern of radiation, and repeatable scanning. Hence, more and more clinicians consider FAST important. However, FAST also has the following limitations: (1) the evaluation of early abdominal bleeding and the differentiation between ascites and bleeding is operator dependent; (2) difficult manipulation in patients with obesity due to heavy subcutaneous fat; (3) less sensitivity to posterior abdominal bleeding; and (4) no visualized images in patients with traumatic subcutaneous emphysema. Literatures indicate that the sensitivity of FAST is 69%–98% in the detection of free liquid, but only 63% of solid organ injury, whereas the specificity in both is up to 94%-100%.^[3] Given that the conditions of clinical trauma patients may change immediately, taking the advantage of FAST in repeated scanning will improve the sensitivity of examination.

Point-of-care ultrasound, which is developing fast in recent years, encourages the first-line physicians to answer clinical

Address for correspondence: Dr. Wan-Ching Lien, Department of Emergency Medicine, National Taiwan University Hospital, Taipei, Taiwan. E-mail: dtemer17@yahoo.com.tw

This is an open access journal, and articles are distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-ShareAlike 4.0 License, which allows others to remix, tweak, and build upon the work non-commercially, as long as appropriate credit is given and the new creations are licensed under the identical terms.

For reprints contact: WKHLRPMedknow_reprints@wolterskluwer.com

How to cite this article: Wang PH, Lin HY, Chang PY, Lien WC. Focused assessment with sonography for trauma. J Med Ultrasound 2021;29:151-3.

151

Wang, et al.: Sonography for trauma




Figure 1: Focused Assessment of Sonography for Trauma assessment of free fluid in abdomen (1–3) and pericardial effusion (4)



Figure 3: Place the probe parallel to the midaxillary line and then move to the bottom of the right ribs. Check whether there is effusion at the junction of the liver and kidney




Figure 5: Place the probe above the pubic and swept in transverse and longitudinal directions. Check whether there is effusion in the posterior side of the bladder



Figure 7: Place the probe parallel to the midaxillary line and then at the border of the ribs at both sides. Check whether there is effusion above the diaphragm




Figure 2: Extended Focused Assessment of Sonography for Trauma (e Focused Assessment of Sonography for Trauma). Assessment of hemothorax (5 and 6) or pneumothorax (7 and 8) in addition to basic Focused Assessment of Sonography for Trauma assessment (1–4)



Figure 4: Place the probe parallel to the midaxillary line and then move to the bottom of the left ribs. Check whether there is effusion at the junction of the spleen and kidney



Figure 6: Place the probe horizontally at the bottom of the xiphoid process of the sternum then scan toward the cranial side of the patient. Check whether there is pericardial effusion




Figure 8: Check whether there is lung sliding sign by two dimensional image or barcode sign (right panel) by M-mode image in the lungs

152

Wang, et al.: Sonography for trauma



Figure 9: Effusion at Morrison's pouch. The computed tomography showed hepatic laceration. The bleeding was successfully stopped after an emergent transcatheter arterial chemoembolization

questions quickly and precisely with ultrasound. The advance of ultrasound is just like stethoscope in the 21st century, providing clinical specialties more information about organic structure and the related pathology besides medical history inquiry and physical examination.^[4] More and more literatures show that, besides the application in trauma patients, FAST is also applied in nontraumatic patients such as those with shock or cardiac arrest.^[5,6] It helps intensivists with cause detection in short time and improve the accuracy of diagnosis.

Declaration of patient consent

The authors certify that they have obtained appropriate patient consent form. In the form, the patient has given his consent for the images and other clinical information to be reported in the journal. The patient understands that his name and initial will not be published and due efforts will be made to conceal the identity, but anonymity cannot be guaranteed.

Financial support and sponsorship

Nil.

Conflicts of interest

There are no conflicts of interest.

REFERENCES

- Scalea TM, Rodriguez A, Chiu WC, Brenneman FD, Fallon WF Jr, Kato K, *et al.* Focused Assessment with Sonography for Trauma (FAST): Results from an international consensus conference. J Trauma 1999;46:466-72.
- Griffin XL, Pullinger R. Are diagnostic peritoneal lavage or focused abdominal sonography for trauma safe screening investigations for hemodynamically stable patients after blunt abdominal trauma? A review of the literature. J Trauma 2007;62:779-84.
- Lingawi SS, Buckley AR. Focused abdominal US in patients with trauma. Radiology 2000;217:426-9.
- Feilchenfeld Z, Kuper A, Whitehead C. Stethoscope of the 21st century: Dominant discourses of ultrasound in medical education. Med Educ 2018;52:1271-87.
- Lien WC, Hsu SH, Chong KM, Sim SS, Wu MC, Chang WT, et al. US-CAB protocol for ultrasonographic evaluation during cardiopulmonary resuscitation: Validation and potential impact. Resuscitation 2018;127:125-31.
- Richards JR, McGahan JP. Focused Assessment with Sonography in Trauma (FAST) in 2017: What Radiologists Can Learn. Radiology 2017;283:30-48.